Date:

October 11, 2011

To:

Senate Energy and Technology Committee

From:

James A. Ault, President

Michigan Electric and Gas Association

Subject:

Review of 2008 PA 295, Part 2 - Subpart A (Renewable Energy)

1. Introduction and Summary

The Michigan Electric and Gas Association (MEGA) is a trade association of investor-owned electric and natural gas utilities serving in Michigan. The MEGA utilities are: Alpena Power Company; Citizens Gas Fuel Company; Indiana Michigan Power Company; Michigan Gas Utilities; Northern States Power Company, a Wisconsin corporation (Xcel Energy); Upper Peninsula Power Company; We Energies and Wisconsin Public Service Corporation. All of the MEGA electric utilities are regulated by the Michigan Public Service Commission (MPSC) and are subject to the renewable energy standards and requirements of the Clean, Renewable and Efficient Energy Act, 2008 PA 295 (Act 295).

MEGA appreciates the opportunity to provide an update to the Committee on behalf of its member electric utilities. A table is attached to this memorandum providing relevant information about the renewable energy measures of each provider. All of the MEGA electric providers have proceeded with implementation of Act 295 and they expect to meet the renewable energy credit portfolio requirements of Section 27 that ramp up from 2% of energy production to 10% over the period 2012-2015.

MEGA's electric members do not support changes to the portfolio standards at this time. The existing standards should remain in place to provide certainty and predictability in the scope of regulation, through the target year of 2015. Before any changes are made, the results and performance of renewable energy sources should be thoroughly reviewed. Such a review, particularly regarding cost effectiveness, reliability contribution and system implications, will require actual data from the performance of facilities yet to be placed in service. In summary, the existing law should be given a chance to work before major changes are adopted. Further, given the current economy (not anticipated before 2008), this is not the time to be adding more costs.

2. MEGA Company Data

The attached table shows MEGA member companies are making progress towards the Act 295 renewable standard primarily using wind and hydroelectric resources. Alpena Power is in a unique situation because it purchases all of its electric supply under a wholesale power contract with Consumers Energy with a term extending to 2024. Therefore, Alpena Power will purchase renewable energy credits (RECs) to meet statutory requirements and these purchases will increase as the standard ramps up to 10% by 2015.

The other MEGA electric providers are part of multistate integrated systems. For these providers, a system mix of generating sources is allocated among the states served or power is purchased from the overall system. Some of the renewable energy in the system mix can be used to satisfy the Michigan requirements. We Energies, Upper Peninsula Power, Indiana Michigan Power and Xcel Energy have in-

state hydroelectric facilities in their system generating portfolios, providing RECs that qualify under Act 295. In most cases, the cost of renewable energy in the mix is recovered through base rates and/or the purchased power cost recovery mechanism and incremental surcharges are not needed. The Michigan Renewable Energy Certification System (MIRECS) extended under MPSC direction provides a mechanism to facilitate the transfer and crediting of RECs from various sources in the integrated systems.

All of the MEGA member electric providers expect to meet the Act 295 target of 10% renewable energy by 2015. As noted, several companies are utilizing existing hydroelectric resources which were constructed many years ago to take advantage of the energy available from natural forces. These existing facilities should be allowed to qualify for the renewable energy targets, as they are now, if any future modifications to Act 295 are adopted.

3. Conclusion

The system operations, capacity, transmission and tax policy issues addressed in the comments of Craig Borr (MECA) are among the matters that should be fully examined before any decisions are made on state policy changes to Act 295. Additional issues for future analysis include the impact of wind turbines on wildlife, the public nuisance concerns and the substantial land use requirements of wind farms compared to other generating resources. Michigan's current policy provides the advantage of requiring a reasonable level of additional renewable resources, primarily but not exclusively wind energy, that will provide actual operating results for effective analysis of these issues. The legislature should "stay the course" for a few more years.

One behalf of MEGA and its members, thank you for this opportunity to provide information and take questions.

and the second of the second o

James A. Ault, President
Michigan Electric and Gas Association
110 W. Michigan Avenue, Suite 1000B
Lansing, MI 48933
(517) 484-7730

•
-
į
-
į
į
3
تر
3
9
Č
4
$^{\circ}$
۶
9
4.6
_
2
2
Ē
چ
\mathcal{L}
0
2
n
u
Sur
S
1
7
MEG
MEGA

Company	Flootrio Comito	Summary C	of Company Data of	n Renewable Ener	gy (RE) Implem	MECA - Summary of Company Data on Renewable Energy (RE) Implementation (vear-end 2010)	
	Area	Customers	<u>Multistate?</u>	RE Sources	Surcharge	2010 available RECs/	2010 RE %
Alpena Power	Alpena and	16.300	NO	1000/ 6		Total MWh retail sales	
Company	nearhy			100% Irom	Yes	/0	%0
	minicipalities in			purchased RECs	(\$3.00/month	323,620	
	NW lower			under long term	residential,	(REC purchases required for	
	peninsula		-	Consumers Fnerov	\$16.38/month	years beginning 2012)	
				6	\$187.50/month		
Indiana Michigan	Dowt of CW lower	00000			industrial)		
Power Co	rait of 5 W 10 Wer	128,000	Yes – I&M serves	6 small hydro	None – all	67.981/	2 2407
200	permisura		part of N Indiana;	(22.42 MW) +	recovery via base	3,037,396	0/+7.7
			part of a larger AEP	share of 150 MW	rates or PSCR		
			multistate system	Fowler Ridge Wind			
Upper Peninsula	Part of 10	51 937	No - but now of	rarm			
Power Co.	counties in	7	Integrate Francis	2 owned nydro	None – all	112,372/	13.78%
	central Unner		Group of office 1	1 + (M M 0 · / 7)	recovery via base	815,641	
	Peninsula		utilities	contracted hydro	rates		112
We Energies	Part of 10	28.386	Yes - WF also serves	Internated and			
(trade name for	counties in W-)	a large area in	micglated system	r es (same levels	154,660/	6.12%
Wisconsin	Central Unner		Wisconsin (org. 7.1	mix includes 95	as Alpena Power,	2,527,957	
Electric Power	Peninsula		million total	M. W. Inydro + 220.7	above – these are		
and Wisconsin			70	M.W. Wind + 5.11	statutory caps per		
(ras)			customers) and is	M.W	MCL		
(cm)			part of Wisconsin	biogas/biomass +	460.1045(2))		
			energy Corp.	34.4 MW landfill			
Wisconsin Public	Area near	8 988	Vec W/DC also	gas T 1			
Service Com.	Menominee in	2000	common lance con in	integrated system	None – all	37,569/	13.94%
	Control Hance		seives a large area in	mix includes 23	recovery via base	269,411	
	Deniman Opper		N Wisconsin and is	MW hydro + 66.7	rates or PSCR		
	reminsula		part of Integrys	MW wind + 8 MW			
		`	Energy Group	landfill gas			
Xcel Energy	Far W Upper	9,700	Yes –NSP-W also	Integrated system	None – ali	12,428/	9 12%
Visate name for	Feninsula		serves N Wisconsin;	mix includes 6.43%	recovery via base	136,260	
Demineral States			part of affiliated	hydro +6.11% wind	rates or PSCR		
rower - w and			group of Xcel Energy	+ 1.32% biomass +			
others)			companies in 8	1.45% wood +			
			Western and	0.37% waste			
			Midwestern states				

